Rhode Island's Motion Picture Production Tax Credit: "Marginal" and "Leveraged" Approaches to Measuring Costs and Benefits with the REMI Model



Joseph Codega Jr., Senior Revenue Policy Analyst RI Department of Revenue Office of Revenue Analysis



Introduction



About Us	 Rhode Island Department of Revenue, Office of Revenue Analysis (ORA) Executive branch office with economic development incentive
	evaluation mandate

• Situation within Dept. of Revenue allows access to *some* otherwise confidential data

About the "UEDR"

- Unified Economic Development Report ("UEDR") defined by Rhode Island General Laws §42-142-6
- Annual comprehensive accounting and cost-benefit analysis (CBA) of many state economic development incentives
- Includes a net benefit measured in terms of jobs, GDP, and state revenues for four tax incentive programs.

Introduction



How we use REMI

- Since FY 2011 UEDR has included a comprehensive accounting of tax credit usage
- Since FY 2013 UEDR has included a cost-benefit analysis (CBA) component
- ORA has chosen to utilize the REMI PI+ model in CBA portion of UEDR



- Decisions in the modeling approach can significantly impact evaluation outcome
 - These subjective decisions made by evaluators can make difference between positive and negative net benefits
- ORA sought to balance the following goals:
 - Desire to provide useful, succinct, actionable analysis while maintaining role as an unbiased, objective evaluator
- Today's presentation will discuss decisions ORA faced in balancing these goals using our evaluation of the Motion Picture Production Tax Credit as an example.

About the Motion Picture Production Tax Credit



Selected MPPTC Projects:

The Polka King (2016)

The Purge: Election Year (2016)

"Building Wonders" Documentary Series (2012-13)

Victoria's Secret Commercial (2012)

Moonrise Kingdom (2011)

- Transferable credit equal to 25% of "state certified production expenses" including:
 - Compensation paid to individuals (resident or non-resident) for in-state work
 - Payments to in-state vendors
- Project requirements
 - At least 51% of filming days or production spending take place in state
 - At least 5 employees
- Capped at \$15M per year, \$5M per project, recent actual usage ≈\$2.8M

JRC ELECTION YEAR

KEEP AMERICA GREAT











Photo Credit: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/The Purge: Election Year http://variety.com/2017/film/news/jack-black-the-polka-king-netflix-1202478204/ http://www.rogerebert.com/reviews/moonrise-kingdom-2012 https://images-na.ssl-images-amazon.com/images/I/81sXmARfHAL. SX342 .jpg

Rhode Island Motion Picture Tax Credit: *FY 2016 Usage At-a-Glance*



Number of Recipient Productions	4 Productions
Total Tax Credit Amount	\$362,176
Certified Production Expenses	\$1,480,877 total compensation & payments to local vendors

Basic Modeling Approach: *A Menu of Options*



Benefits

 Production cost savings realized by motion picture industry resulting from availability of tax credit

- 0r -

 Motion picture industry employment and industry sales in motion picture industry and local intermediate input industries

Costs

 General tax increases necessary to pay for forgone revenue created by tax credit

- *or* -

 Forgone state expenditures on projects that could have been funded if tax credit had not been awarded.

Challenge:

Do we model the *addition* or *subtraction* of the tax incentive activity?

Considerations:

- Impact of tax credit is longstanding and presumably part of historical/forecast data
- Tax credit subsidizes significant portion of local motion picture and sound recording industry:
 - Average industry employment 658
 - Average contribution to GDP \$96M

Solution:

Model impact of tax credit by **removing** the credit

e.g. Model the impact of a
 \$1M tax credit by entering
 negative \$1M of industry
 sales into REMI model



Modeling Benefits: Marginal Approach vs. Leveraged Approach

Counterfactual Assumption

REMI Policy Variables

Results

Marginal Approach

Tax credit represents a *marginal* production cost savings to a firm

 Production cost savings in amount of tax credit

Leveraged Approach

- Availability of tax credit had deciding impact on firm's production decision.
- Industry sales, nullify intermediate inputs and investment, w/compensation adjustment
- Relatively significant impact

Wide range of potential impacts highlights importance of counterfactual assumption





Modeling Costs: Tax Policy Response vs. Expenditure Response



Counterfactual Assumption

> REMI Policy Variables

> > Results

Tax Policy Response

- If tax credit had not been awarded, state gov't would have reduced taxes on businesses.
- Production cost increase, distributed across industries based on value added / contribution to state GDP.
- Shocks to production cost take relatively longer to reach new equilibrium and not all impact is felt locally.

Expenditure Response

- If tax credit had not been granted, state would have spent funds elsewhere in budget.
- Exogenous demand and employment distributed across industries based on ORA profile of state general fund spending.
- State government spending concentrated in locally impactful industries such as education and healthcare.

Modeling Benefits: Leveraged Approach Translating Production Expenses to REMI Inputs



certified Floduction Expenses				
Industry (NAICS Code)	Amount			
Accommodation (721)	\$94,507			
Admin. & Sup. Serv. (561)	\$737			
Couriers & Mess. (492)	\$76			
Food Serv. & Drink. (722)	\$59,385			
Pro., Sci., & Tech. Serv. (54)	\$7,827			
Real Estate (531)	\$107,335			
Rental & Leasing (532-3)	\$22,182			
Repair & Maint. (811)	\$1,247			
Telecommunications (517)	\$5,070			
Transit & Ground (485)	\$18,983			
Wholesale Trade (42)	\$56,939			
Compensation	\$1,106,589			
TOTAL:	\$1,480,877			

Cartified Production Expanses

	REMI Category	Detail	Amount
	Industry Sales / Exogenous Production	Motion Picture & Sound Recording Industries (512)	-\$1,480,877
	Nullify Intermediate Inputs Induced by Industry Sales	Motion Picture & Sound Recording Industries (512)	-\$1,480,877
	Nullify Investment Induced by Industry Sales	Motion Picture & Sound Recording Industries (512)	-\$1,480,877
	Compensation	Motion Picture & Sound Recording Industries (512)	-\$519,979
	Industry Sales / Exogenous Production	Each of 11 industries and amounts documented in Certified Production Expenses Table.	-\$374,288 across 11 industries

* This figure represents the difference between actual compensation paid and the REMI standard compensation assumption.

Modeling Benefits: Leveraged Approach *Making Compensation Adjustments*



GOAL: Develop procedure to find combination of industry sales and compensation inputs that simulates actual changes to motion picture industry sales and compensation of \$1,480,877 and \$1,106,589 respectively.

Model Run 1:

Inputs			Output			
Variable	Detail	Amount	Variable	Detail	Amount	
Industry Sales	MP & SR Industry	-\$1,480,877	Industry Sales	MP & SR Industry	-\$1,480,877 🗸	Note:
[also nullify inte	rmediate inputs and ir	nvestment]	Compensation	MP & SR Industry	-\$586,610	compensation
						response.
Model Run 2:						
Inputs			Output			
<i>Inputs</i> Variable	Detail	Amount	<i>Output</i> Variable	Detail	Amount	Note: Comp. &
Inputs Variable Industry Sales	Detail MP & SR Industry	Amount -\$1,480,877	Output Variable Industry Sales	Detail MP & SR Industry	Amount -\$1,480,877	Note: Comp. & Industry Sales
Inputs Variable Industry Sales Compensation	Detail MP & SR Industry MP & SR Industry	Amount -\$1,480,877 -\$519,979	Output Variable Industry Sales Compensation	Detail MP & SR Industry MP & SR Industry	Amount -\$1,480,877 -\$1,106,589	Note: Comp. & Industry Sales responses match targets
Inputs Variable Industry Sales Compensation [also nullify inter	Detail MP & SR Industry MP & SR Industry rmediate inputs and in	Amount -\$1,480,877 -\$519,979 vestment]	Output Variable Industry Sales Compensation	Detail MP & SR Industry MP & SR Industry	Amount -\$1,480,877 -\$1,106,589	Note: Comp. & Industry Sales responses match targets.

Modeling Costs: Government Expenditure Response *Translating RI General Fund Spending to REMI Inputs*



ORA Analysis of FY 2016 RI General Fund Expenditures

Industry (NAICS Code)	Amount	% of Total
Ambulatory Healthcare Services (621)	\$1.12 billion	31.8%
Educational Services (61)	\$1.04 billion	29.7%
Social Assistance (624)	\$95.9 million	2.7%
Prof., Sci., & Tech. Services (54)	\$50.3 million	1.4%
Admin. & Support Services (561)	\$33.1 million	0.9%
Wholesale Trade (42)	\$30.6 million	0.9%
Remaining / Other (19 additional industries and also non-residential capital investment)	\$128.5 million	3.7%
State Wages, Salary, and Other Comp. (entered as "State/Local Gov't Employment" w/ compensation adj.)	\$937.0 million	26.6%
Local Government Spending (entered as "Local Gov't Spending")	\$78.5 million	2.2%
TOTAL:	\$3.5 billion	100.0%

FY 2016 RI Compensation Detail

Total State Employee Comp.	\$1.396B
Full Time Equivalent Positions	12,826
Total Comp. Cost per FTE	\$108,806

Note: REMI model assumes average annual compensation for state/local gov't job is approx. \$87,667 – slightly less than what is shown by analysis of expenditure data. Another compensation adjustment is necessary.

Modeling Costs: Government Expenditure Response: *Making Compensation Adjustments*



GOAL: Develop procedure to find combination of state and local gov't employment and compensation inputs that simulates actual changes to state gov't emp. and comp. of 0.77 and \$83,781, respectively.

Model Run 1:



Example Results: *FY 2016 Motion Picture Production Tax Credit*

Cost Assumption



If the tax credit were to be eliminated for FY 2016, the state would experience the following impacts...

	Margina	l Approach	Leveraged Approach		
Tax Policy Response	Employment: State GDP: Net Revenue:	-1 job -\$23,386 +\$360,558	Employment: State GDP: Net Revenue:	-28 jobs -\$1,737,876 +\$241,915	
Government Expenditure Response	Employment: State GDP: Net Revenue:	+1 job -\$116,815 +\$354,092	Employment: State GDP: Net Revenue:	-26 jobs -\$1,597,673 +\$251,617	

Benefits Assumption

Modeling Detail: *Estimating Revenue Impacts*



ORA calculated revenue impacts using the ratio of state general revenues to state GDP. Example below shows revenue impact of leveraged, tax policy response analysis.



Discussion of Modeling Approach



The modeling approach produces a "menu" of possible impacts...

PROS

- Reader can select the scenario that matches their assumptions of what would have happened in the absence of the credit.
- Evaluation framework is versatile across a wide variety of credit types.
- Incorporates bill of goods data, customizing analysis to characteristics of credit-takers in each year.

CONS

- Results are somewhat cluttered.
- Report does not provide a single, definitive impact estimate.
- Wide range of potential impacts
- Costs and benefits of bill of goods approach must be considered:
 - Detailed bill of goods approach is time intensive and may not always result in significantly different results.



Looking Ahead:

- Interested in improving methodology:
 - ORA has pushed the limits of the REMI PI+ model and translated administrative records into usable REMI inputs.
 - Interested from hearing from any Tax-PI users in the audience regarding how our methodology could be improved with Tax-PI.
- ORA now has a new statutory tax incentive evaluation mandate, The Economic Development and Tax Incentive Evaluation Act of 2013
 - Opportunity for ORA to push for expanded data access
 - Opportunity to refine evaluation procedures



Joseph Codega Jr., Senior Revenue Policy Analyst Joseph.Codega@revenue.ri.gov Office of Revenue Analysis Rhode Island Department of Revenue

